

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE: BEXTRA AND CELEBREX  
MARKETING SALES PRACTICES AND  
PRODUCT LIABILITY LITIGATION

CASE NO. 05-CV-01699 CRB

MDL No. 1699

This Document Relates To:

Allen, Shirley	06-2646 CRB
Bass, Sr., Will	06-0087 CRB
Belcher, Pearly	06-2081 CRB
Benham, Jean M	06-1689 CRB
Boatwright, James M.	06-2443 CRB
Bush, Louise	06-2431 CRB
Clawson, Timothy, et al.	06-4046 CRB
Click, Paul	05-4779 CRB
Counts, Junior	06-2081 CRB
Croft, Richard	06-1901 CRB
Duke, Robert C., et al.	07-0056 CRB
Goins, Kay F.	08-1858 CRB
Halley, Jonathan	05-4781 CRB
Hare, Clarice	05-4735 CRB
Haynes, Patrick	06-2443 CRB
Hicks, Mary, et al.	06-6081 CRB
Lopez, Juana	06-2101 CRB
Mackey, Anita P.	06-7628 CRB
Nolan, Lecia	06-2434 CRB
Olmsted, Clara	08-1858 CRB
Perkins, Merlvin	05-4781 CRB
Ralph, Heathere	06-2436 CRB
Simbulan, Bobby Jo	06-2101 CRB
Sousan, John	06-0087 CRB
Taylor, Martha	06-0087 CRB
White, James et al.	06-2864 CRB

**~~[PROPOSED]~~ SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER  
REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO  
WITHDRAW**

On August 3, 2009, this Court issued an Order granting Plaintiffs' Counsel's motion to withdraw, filed on July 30, 2009, Docket No. 3096. *See* Docket No. 3106 ("the Withdrawal Order"). All of the plaintiffs subject to the Withdrawal Order are subject to Pretrial Order No. 31, Docket No. 2571 ("PTO 31") as a function of either Pretrial Order No. 31A, Docket No. 3023 or Pretrial Order No. 31B, Docket No. 3094 (collectively, "the PTO 31 Orders"). Moreover, sixteen of the plaintiffs subject to the Withdrawal Order are subject to the PTO 31 Compliance Motion No. 3 Pfizer filed on July 31, 2009, Docket No. 3100.

Because Plaintiffs' Counsel's motion to withdraw was not accompanied by a simultaneous appearance of substitute counsel or agreement of the party to appear *pro se*, and to minimize any delay Plaintiffs' Counsel's withdrawal might cause on the conduct of the litigation, the Court hereby issues this Supplemental Order pursuant to Northern District Civil Local Rule 11-5(b), making Plaintiffs' Counsel's withdrawal conditional on the following:

1. If Plaintiffs' Counsel has not already done so, Plaintiffs' Counsel must provide notice to all plaintiffs subject to the Withdrawal Order that they are subject to the PTO 31 Orders and inform them that failure to comply with PTO 31 will result in their cases being dismissed with prejudice.

2. Pfizer may serve the plaintiffs subject to the Withdrawal Order with any pleadings in this litigation, including any PTO 31 compliance motions or motions to dismiss, by electronically filing its motion papers via ECF.

3. Plaintiffs' Counsel shall forward any such pleadings to plaintiffs subject to the Withdrawal Motion until the appearance of substitute counsel or a notice that the plaintiff wishes to appear *pro se*.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: August 18, 2009

